The Library of Congress'

EARLY STATE RECORDS PROJECT

converted from the original microfilm held by the
Vincent C. Immel Law Library, Saint Louis University

through the generous support of a grant from the

Council on Library and Information Resources

This document contains handwritten text that may be partially illegible due to age, penmanship, or deterioration of the medium. LLMC has scanned the material in the same format and page order in which it is maintained in the source document taken from the collection "Records of the States of the United States of America, a microfilm compilation."

Henry Green vs William Beasley

Pleas before the honorable Thomas Posteay Walter Leake and Oliver Fitts Esquires. Judges in and over the Mississippi Territory at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten

Be it remembered that Territory Court at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten, before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid. Henry Green by Charles Mead his attorney brought here into Court his bill against William Beasley in custody of the Sheriff of Adams County of a plea of trespass on the case which said bill is in the following words Viz "Adams County Ss. William Beasley late of the County of Adams was attached to answer unto Henry Green in a plea of trespass on the case &c. and whereupon the said Henry by Charles Mead his attorney complains that whereas he the said Henry on the day of in the year was possessed of a certain Bay horse intermixed with white hairs branded ⊕ some white feet and blaze face as of his own property; and the said Henry being so thereof possessed afterwards Viz on the day and year last aforesaid at the County aforesaid casually lost the said Bay horse marked and branded as aforesaid out of his custody and the same afterwards Viz on the day and year last aforesaid this came to the hands and possession of the said William by finding Yet the said William well knowing the said horse to be the property of the said Henry and of right to belong and appertain to him, but contriving and fraudulently intending to deceive and defraud the said Henry in this behalf has not altho often requested, delivered the said horse as above described to the said Henry but on the contrary thereof he the said William afterwards Viz on the day of in the year aforesaid at the County aforesaid converted and disposed of the same to his own use to the damage of the said Henry and therefore he brings his suit &c.

Afterwards Viz at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten; this cause was legally continued.

And now at this day Viz at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten, before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid comes the said Henry Green by his attorney aforesaid and the said William Beasley although solemnly called comes not but makes default, whereby the said Henry Green remains against the said William Beasley in this behalf undefended by default of the said William Beasley. Therefore it is considered that the said Henry Green do recover against the said William Beasley the sum of thirteen dollars and ninety cents for his cost and charges by him about his said in this behalf expended by the Court adjudged to the said Henry Green and with his assents and the said William Beasley in mercy and so forth

William Hoggatt v William P Anderson

(Be it remembered that before Thomas Rodney Walter Leake and Obediah Jewitt Esquires, Judges in and over the Mississippi Territory at a Superior Court holden at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten!

(Be it remembered that before Jewitt at a Circuit Court holden at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten among others is the following to wit. William Hoggatt by William B. Shields his Attorney complains of William P. Anderson in a plea of trespass on the case and promises against the said William P. Anderson that he the said William Hoggatt by William B. Shields his Attorney at the County aforesaid and Territory aforesaid on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten at Washington in the County aforesaid with force and arms assaulted the said William Hoggatt and him the said William Hoggatt then and there beat wounded and ill treated so that his life was greatly despaired of and other wrongs to the said William Hoggatt then and there did to the great damage of the said William Hoggatt and against the peace and dignity of the United States. Whereupon the said William Hoggatt by William B. Shields his Attorney aforesaid complains and brings into Court here his bill against the said William P. Anderson in these words to wit "Mississippi Territory Adams County ss. In the Circuit Court - October term 1808. William P. Anderson was attached by his goods and chattels to answer William Hoggatt of a plea of trespass on the case; For that whereas the said William P. Anderson on the first day of July in the year one thousand eight hundred and eight. To wit at Washington in the County aforesaid was indebted to the said William.

Afterwards To wit at a Circuit Court holden at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. William Hoggatt by William B. Shields his attorney brought here into court his bill against William P. Anderson in these words To wit "Mississippi Territory Adams County ss. In the Circuit Court - October term 1808. William P. Anderson was attached by his goods and chattels to answer William Hoggatt of a plea of trespass on the case; For that whereas the said William P. Anderson on the first day of July in the year one thousand eight hundred and eight. To wit at Washington in the County aforesaid was indebted to the said William.

Hoggatt in the sum of two hundred and ninety seven dollars and fifty cents lawful money of the United States for money by the said William Hoggatt before that time laid out expended and paid for the said William P. Anderson at his special instance and request and for other two hundred and ninety seven dollars and fifty cents of like lawful money for money by the said William Hoggatt before that time lent and advanced to the said William P. Anderson at his like request and for other two hundred and ninety seven dollars and fifty cents of like lawful money for money by the said William P. Anderson before that time had and received to the use of the said William Hoggatt; and being so indebted he the said William P. Anderson in consideration thereof afterwards Towit on the same day and year aforesaid at Washington aforesaid in the County aforesaid undertook and faithfully promised the said William Hoggatt to pay him the said last mentioned sum of money when he should be there afterwards requested. Yet the said William P. Anderson (although often requested &c) hath not yet paid the said several sums of money or any part thereof to the said William Hoggatt; but he to pay the same or any part thereof to the said William Hoggatt hath hitherto wholly refused and still doth refuse to the said William Hoggatt his damage of five hundred dollars and therefore he sues &c. Pledges &c W.B. Shields plffs. atty.

Afterwards Towit at a Circuit Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and eight; this cause was legally continued

Afterwards Towit, at a Circuit Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and nine; this cause was legally continued.

Afterwards Towit, at a Circuit Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and nine; this cause was legally continued.

Afterwards by virtue of the Statute of the General Assembly of the Territory aforesaid in such case made and provided this cause was transferred from the Circuit Court aforesaid into the Superior Court in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten

Afterwards Towit at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten, this cause was legally continued.

And now at this day Towit at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid. comes the said William Hoggatt by his attorney aforesaid. and the said William P. Anderson although solemnly called cries not but makes default. Therefore it is considered that the said William Hoggatt do recover against the said William P. Anderson the sum of thirteen dollars and thirteen cents for his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended by the Court here adjudged to him and with his assent. and the said William P. Anderson in mercy and &c forth.

William Gordon Forman vs Washington Stubblefield

Pleas before the honorable Thomas Rodney Walter Lin[illegible] and Alexr Fitts Esquires, Judges in and over the Mississippi Territory at a Superior Court holden at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten.

Be it remembered that heretofore Towit at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. William Gordon Forman by Thompson his attorney brought here into Court his bill against Washington Stubblefield in custody of the Sheriff of Adams County of a plea of trespass on the case. which said bill hath for pledges & prosecute John Doe and Richard Roe and is in the following words Towit "Superior Court of Law and Equity for the Mississippi Territory in and for the County of Adams. April Term 1810. Adams County ss. William Gordon Forman complaining of Washington Stubblefield in custody &c. of a plea of trespass on the case. For that whereas the said Washington Stubblefield on the fourth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ten in the City of Natchez Towit at Adams County aforesaid made his certain note in writing commonly called a promissory note with his proper hand thereto subscribed bearing date on the day and year last mentioned and then and there delivered the said note to the said William Gordon Forman by which said note the said Washington Stubblefield on demand promised to pay to the said William Gordon Forman by the name and description of Wm. Gordon Forman or order the sum of one thousand eight two dollars and twenty nine and an half cents for value received by reason whereof the said Washington Stubblefield became liable to pay to the said William Gordon Forman the said sum of money contained in said note according to the tenor and effect of said note Yet the said Washington Stubblefield in consideration thereof afterwards Towit on the day and year last mentioned in the County of Adams aforesaid undertook and then and there faithfully promised the said William Gordon Forman to pay him the said sum of money contained in said note according to the tenor and effect of said note. Nevertheless the said Washington Stubblefield his promise and undertaking aforesaid in form aforesaid made not in the least regarding the aforesaid sum of money or any part thereof to the aforesaid William Gordon Forman hath not yet paid. although to pay the same the said Washington Stubblefield by the said William Gordon Forman hath been often required. but to pay the same to the said William Gordon Forman he the said Washington Stubblefield hath hitherto refused and still doth refuse to the damage of the said William Gordon Forman of two thousand dollars and thereupon he brings suit &c.

Afterwards Towit, at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten; this cause was legally continued.

And now at this day Towit. at a Superior Court held at the Court house

in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid. the said Washington Stubblefield had leave to answer the declaration of the said William Gordon Forman before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid at the Court aforesaid and thereto came the said William Gordon Forman and the said Washington Stubblefield although solemnly required came not nor saith any thing in bar or preclusion of the action of the said William Gordon Forman by which the said William Gordon Forman remains thereon undefended against the said Washington Stubblefield for which the said William Gordon Forman ought to recover against the said Washington Stubblefield his damages by reason of the nonperformance of the several promises and undertakings of the said Washington Stubblefield. but because it is unknown to the Court here what damages the said William Gordon Forman hath sustained by reason of the nonperformance of the promises and undertakings aforesaid. afterwards to wit commanded that the Sheriff of Adams County do summon fifty one good and lawful men of his County according to the directions of the act of the General Assembly of the Territory aforesaid in such case made and provided to be and appear before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid at a Superior Court to be holden at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. by whom &c. who neither &c. to recognize &c. and diligently to inquire in and to assess the damages which the said William Gordon Forman hath sustained by reason of the nonperformance of the promises and undertakings of the said Washington Stubblefield aforesaid at which day came the Juror of the Jury of which mention is above made who being called certain of them came and Jurat." Andrew Owens. Calvin Smith. David Parnell. Abram Defrance. John Rabb. William F. Vos. Joseph Montgomery. Joseph Piper Robert Taylor. Enoch Rose. William Nicholls and David Burney. who being impannelled tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the matters within contained. say upon their oath that the said William Gordon Forman hath sustained damages by reason of the nonperformance of the several promises and undertakings aforesaid. over and above his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended to nine hundred and eight dollars and sixty nine and a half cents. Thereupon it is considered that the said William Gordon Forman do recover against the said Washington Stubblefield his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed by the Juror aforesaid and also twenty three dollars and fifty five cents for his costs and charges by the Court adjudged to him and with his assent. which said damages costs and charges amount in the whole to nine hundred and eight two dollars and sixty four cents & a half cents. and the said Washington Stubblefield in mercy &c. and so forth.

Rezin Hammond and James Brown to Henry Strong

Know ye that we Rezin Hammond, Walter Leake and Oliver Fitts ——— Esquires, Justices in and over the Mississippi Territory at a Superior Court held at the Court House in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten.

Be it remembered that heretofore Towit at a Superior Court held at the Co
in and for the County of Adams on the second Monday in April in the year one th
eight hundred and ten, before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid Regin Hamm
and Jason Brown by Charles B. Green their attorney brought their into court then
against Henry Sterling in a plea of
Trespass on the case. which said bill here for pledges to prosecute follow Dow and
Richard Roe and in the following words and figures Towit " Mississippi
Territory. Adams County. Superior Court April Term 1810 - Regin Hammond
and Jason Brown complains of Henry Sterling in custody &c. of a plea of Trespass
on the case: For that whereas the said defendant on the twenty second day of January
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eight, at Adams County
aforesaid made his certain note in writing commonly called a promissory note
his own proper hand writing thereto subscribed bearing date on the same day and year
aforesaid and then and there delivered the said note to one Walter McClellan
and thereby in the month of January next promised to pay the said McClellan
or order two hundred and twelve dollars and fifty cents for value received. by
reason whereof and by force of the Statute the said defendant became liable to
pay the said McClellan the said sum according to the tenor and effect
of said note. and the said Walter McClellan to whom or to whose order the said
sum of money in the said note contained was to be made afterwards and before the
payment of the said sum of money or any part thereof and before the expiration of
the payment thereof Towit on the 25th day of January 1808. at Adams County
aforesaid indorsed the said note his own proper hand being thereto subscribed and
then and there directed by the said indorsement the said sum of money to be paid
to one William Brown by the name of Doctr. William Brown, and then and there
delivered the said note to the said William Brown of which the said defendant
afterwards on the same day year aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid had notice
and the said William Brown to whom the said sum of money in the said note
contained was by the said indorsement to be made afterwards and before the payment
of the said sum of money or any part thereof and before the expiration of the time for
the payment. Towit on the same day and year aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid
indorsed the said note his own proper hand being thereto subscribed and then and
then by the said indorsement directed the payment of the said sum of money contained
in said note to be made to the said plaintiffs and then and there delivered the said
note to the said plaintiffs of which the said defendant afterwards Towit on the same
day and year aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid had notice by reason whereof
the said defendant became liable to pay the said plaintiffs the said sum of
money according to the tenor and effect of said note and the indorsements made
thereon as aforesaid: and being so liable the said defendant afterwards Towit
on the same day and year last aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid in consideration
thereof undertook and faithfully promised the said plaintiffs to pay them the said
sum of money according to the tenor and effect of said note and the indorsements

made thereon as aforesaid. And whereas the said defendant afterwards to wit on the same day and year last aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid was indebted to the said plaintiffs in the sum of two hundred dollars dollars for money by the said defendant before that time at the special and request of the said defendant had and received of the said plaintiffs and to them was: and for money by the said plaintiffs before then laid out expended and paid to and for the said defendant at his special instance and request: and for money by the said plaintiffs before then lent and advanced to the said defendant at his special instance and request and being so indebted he the said defendant in consideration afterwards to wit on the same day and year aforesaid at Adams County aforesaid undertook and faithfully promised the said plaintiffs to pay them the said sum of money when thereto requested Nevertheless the said defendant although often requested &c. has not paid the said plaintiffs or either of them the said sums of money or any part thereof but he to do this has hitherto refused and still doth wholly refuse to pay the same to them or either of them to the damage of the said plaintiffs five hundred dollars - dollars and therefore they bring suit &c.

Afterwards Towit at a Superior Court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in April in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. this cause was legally continued.

And now at this day Towit at a Superior court held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid come the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown by their attorney aforesaid and the said Henry Sterling although solemnly called comes not but makes default. nor saith any thing in bar or preclusion of the action of the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown whereby they the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown remain against the said Henry Sterling undefended. for which the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown ought to recover against the said Henry Sterling their damages by reason of the nonperformance of the several promises and undertakings of the said Henry Sterling. but because it is unknown to the Court here what damages the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown have sustained by reason of the nonperformance of the promises and undertakings aforesaid. Afterwards it is commanded that the Sheriff of Adams County do summon thirty six good and lawful men of his County according to the direction of the act of the General Assembly of the Territory aforesaid in such case made and provided. to be and appear before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid at a court to be held at the Court house in and for the County of Adams on the second monday in October in the year one thousand eight hundred and ten. by whom and to forth and who neither and to forth to recognize and so forth and diligently to enquire in and to assess the damages which the said Rezin Hammond and James Brown have sustained as aforesaid. At which day came the Jurors of the Jury of which mention is above made who being called certain of them came Towit "Andrew Dewar. Calvin Smith. Daine Pannell. Abraham Defrance. John Rabb. William L. Vop. Enoch Montgomery. Joseph Pope

Taylor, Enoch Rose, William Nicholls and David Berry. who being impa-
nied and sworn the truth to speak concerning the matters within contained say
upon their oath that the said Henry Stirling did undertake and promise in manner
form as the said Pezin Hammond and James Brown have in their declaration
above complained against him. and they assess the damages of the said Pezin
Hammond and James Brown by reason of the non performance of the promise and
undertakings aforesaid. over and above their costs by them about their suit in this
behalf expended. to Two hundred and thirty three dollars and eighty eight cents. Therefore
it is considered that the said Pezin Hammond and James Brown do recover against
the said Henry Stirling their damages aforesaid by the Juror aforesaid in form aforesaid
assessed and also twenty-three dollars and five cents for their costs and charges by the
Court now here adjudged to them and with their assent. which said damages costs
and charges amount in the whole to two hundred and fifty six dollars and ninety
three cents. And the said Henry Stirling in mercy &c. &c. &c.

William Scott vs William Hoe

Pleas before the honorable Thomas Rodney
Walter Leake and Oliver Fitts Esquires Judges
&c. and over the Mississippi Territory at a Supe-
rior Court held at the Courthouse in and for
the County of Adams on the second Monday in
October in the year One thousand Eight hundred
and ten.

Be it Remembered that heretofore towit at a Superior
Court held at the Courthouse in and for the County of Adams on
the second Monday in October in the Year One thousand Eight
hundred and ten, the Justices of the County Court in and for the County
of Adams transmit to the Superior Court aforesaid the Record and
proceedings of a Plea which was lately depending before them in the
County Court aforesaid between William Scott and William Hoe
and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said
William Scott against the said William Hoe and from which Judgment
the said William Hoe prayed an appeal into the Superior Court
aforesaid, Which said Record and proceedings are in the words following
towit ——

Mississippi Territory, Adams County Court to wit

Pleas before Samuel Brooks Esq presiding Justice and other Justices of the County Court of Adams County aforesaid Holden at the Court house thereof on the second Monday of July of the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and two being the Ninth day of the said Month and in the thirty fifth year of the Independence of the United States of America —

William Scott Plaintiff } In Case John Taylor his Attorney
William Fox Defendant } Grimes & Richeson }

Be it Remembered That heretofore to wit on the tenth day of April in the Year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and nine William Scott by John Taylor his Attorney sued out and obtained from the Court aforesaid our Writ of Capias ad respondendum against the Body of William Fox which said Writ with the endorsements thereon are in the words following to wit "The Mississippi Territory of the United States To the Sheriff of Adams County Greeting; We command you to take William Fox wheresoever he may be found in your County and him safely keep so that you have his body before the Justices of our County Court for said County at the Court house thereof on the second Monday in July next to answer to William Scott of a plea of trespass on the case to his damage three hundred dollars and have then there this Writ Witness Samuel Brooks Esquire presiding Justice of our said Court who has caused the Seal of the said County to be hereto affixed this second Monday in April in the year eighteen hundred and nine and in the thirty year of American Independence — J Girault Clerk A&B A66 Issued 29th day of June 1809 Whereupon is endorsed this! This suit is founded on an acknowledgment in writing signed by the Defendant that he had received property of the plaintiff to an amount of one hundred and eighty dollars and fifty cents which writing bears date St Louis 18 May 1806 Bail required J Taylor atty for Pltff Afterwards to wit At a County Court held in and for the County aforesaid at the Court House thereof on the second Monday in July in the year last aforesaid came the Plaintiff by his Attorney afore-

and also came the Sheriff of said County towit Montfort Calvit who made his return of the aforesaid Writ in the manner following that by virtue thereof he had taken the body of the said Defendant as therein he is commanded and thereupon delivered into the Court aforesaid a certain Writing obligatory commonly called a Bail Bond the tenor whereof and of the condition thereunderwritten and assignment thereto annexed are as follows towit Know all Men by these presents that we William Foe and William Donalson of Adams County Mississippi Territory are held and firmly bound unto Montfort Calvit Sheriff of said County in the sum of three hundred and sixty dollars to be paid to the said Montfort Calvit his Executors Administrators or assigns for which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves our Executors and Administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents Sealed with our Seals and dated the first day of July Anno Domini one thousand eight hundred and nine The Condition of this Obligation is such that if the above bound William Foe shall be and appear before the Justices of the County Court of Adams County to be holden for said County at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 11th instant to answer William Scott of a Plea of Trespass on the Case damage two hundred dollars and in case he should be cast in said shall also pay and satisfy the costs and condemnation of the Court or render his Body to prison in Execution for the same, or on the failure thereof that the said William Donalson will do it for him then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue

Signed Sealed and delivered in presence
of — — Dr Dorsey

William Foe
William Donalson

I Montfort Calvit Sheriff of the County of Adams do hereby assign the above obligation and condition to William Scott his Executors & Administrators to be sued for, according to the Statute in such case made and provided In Witness Whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal this day of July 1809 — Montfort Calvit Shff A.C.

Whereupon the said Plaintiff by his Attorney aforesaid brought into the said Court his declaration or plaint against the said Defendant which said Declaration Setteth forth pledges to prosecute John Doe and Richard Roe and is in the words following towit

Adams County Ss- Adams County Court, July Term

William Scott complaining of William Fox in custody of a plea of trespass on the case for that Whereas the said William Fox on the nineteenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and seven, at the County aforesaid was indebted to the said William Scott in the sum of two hundred and two dollars for divers goods Wares and Merchandize by the said William Scott to the said William Fox and at his special instance & request before that time sold and delivered and being so indebted the said William Fox in consideration thereof afterwards towit on the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid took upon himself and then and there promised the said William Scott to pay him the aforesaid sum of Money when he should be afterwards thereunto requested. And Whereas also the said William Fox towit on the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid in consideration that the said William Scott had before that time at the like special instance and request of the said William Fox sold and delivered divers other goods Wares and merchandize undertook upon himself and then faithfully promised the said William Scott that he the said William Fox would well and truly pay & satisfy to the said William Scott as much money as the goods Wares and Merchandize last mentioned were reasonably worth, at the time of the sale and delivery thereof when he should be thereunto afterwards requested and the said William Scott avers that the Goods Wares and Merchandize last mentioned were reasonably worth other sum of two hundred and two dollars at the time of the sale and delivery thereof towit at the County aforesaid, whereof the said William Fox then and there had notice And Whereas also the said William Fox afterwards towit on the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid was indebted to the said William Scott in other sum of two hundred and two dollars for money by the said William Fox before that time had and received to the use of the said William Scott and being so indebted he the said William Fox afterward towit on the day and year aforesaid at the

undertook and promised to pay him the said William Scott the last
mentioned sum of Money when he should be afterward, thereunto
required yet the said William Fox hath not paid the said sum or
Sums of money aforesaid, to the said William Scott (although to pay
the same to him he the said William Fox afterwards towit on the day
and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid by the said William Scott
was required but to pay the same to him hitherto hath refused and
still doth refuse to the damage of the said William Scott three hundred
dollars and therefore he brings Suit- John Dor and Richard Roe pltffs
&c Jno Taylor atto for Plaintiff And on motion of the said Plaintiff
by his said attorney it is ruled and ordered that unless the said Defendant
do appear within the time prescribed by Law— and make his Plea or
defence to the said Plaintiffs action that Judgment by default
shall be entered against him for the said Plaintiff for such damages
as he hath sustained by reason of the premises and cost of Suit Afterwards
towit on the fourth day of the said term of the Court aforesaid came
the said Defendant by their Atty B Green and Gabriel Tichenor his
Attorneys and made his defence or plea to the Plaintiffs action aforesaid
in manner and form following towit "And the said Defendant comes
and defends the wrong and injury when &c— and says he did not "un-
: dertake and promise in manner and form as the said Plaintiff
has declared against him and of this he puts himself upon the Coun-
: try— And the said Plff doth the like— And the said Defendant for
further plea by leave &c according &c says the said Plaintiff ought
not to have and maintain his said action against him because he says
that before the issuing the writ in this Cause towit on the day of
Anno Domini 1807- he the Defendant rule and truly paid to the said
Plaintiff all damages in the said declaration and this he is ready to
verify &c- Green & Tichenor for the Defendant And the said Plaintiff
in answer to the said second plea above pleaded saith he ought to
be barred of his said action because he says that the said Defendant
did not well & truly pay and satisfy to the said Plaintiff his damages
in manner and form as he in his plea hath alledged; and this he prays
may be inquired of by the Country Jno Taylor Atty for the Plaintiff
And the Defendant doth the like —

And thereupon this cause was legally continued until the next term, and the same day is given to both parties Afterwards towit at a County Court held in and for the County aforesaid at the Courthouse thereof on the second Monday in October of the Year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and nine this cause was legally continued until the next term; and the same day is given to both parties Afterwards towit at a County Court held in and for the County aforesaid at the Court house thereof on the second Monday in January of the Year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and ten this Cause was legally continued until the next term; and the same day is given to both parties And now at this day towit at a County Court held as aforesaid on the day and year first above written came the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid and on motion of said Plaintiff by his Attorney aforesaid came a Jury towit Isaac Taylor Pleasant H Hunter Negdon King, William Wilkinson, Abram Pefrance, William Cochran, John B Willy, Nathaniel Ivy William Barland John Curtis Anthony Campbell & Philip Wild, who being empannelled and Sworn to try the issue joined in this Cause on their oath do say that the Defendant did assume and undertake in manner and form as in the declaration mentioned and assess the damages of the said Plaintiff by reason of the nonperformance of the said promise and undertaking to One hundred and Eighty dollars fifty cents over and above his Costs and Charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended and on their oath do further say that the said Defendant did not pay to the said Plaintiff the damages in the declaration contained as in pleading he hath alledged- Therefore it is considered that the said Plaintiff do recover against the Defendant his said damages costs and charges by the Jurors aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed Also Nineteen dollars twelve and a half

cuit for his costs by him about in that behalf expended whereof damages costs and Charges amount in the whole to one hundred ninety nine dollars sixty two and a half cents and the said Defendant in Mercy and so forth— Hereupon which judgment the said Defendant prayed an appeal, which was granted him upon his entering into bond conditioned agreeably to law with Robt H Morrow security which said Bond was executed and acknowledged in open Court and is in the following words towit Mississippi Territory— County of Adams Know all Men by these Presents that we William Fore and Robert H Morrow of the County aforesaid are held and firmly bound unto William Scott in the sum of three hundred and sixty dollars to be paid to the said William Scott his certain Attorney heirs or assigns to which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves our Heirs Executors and Administrators each and every of us and them jointly and severally, firmly by these presents— Witness our hands and seals this 13. day of July 1810— The Condition of this obligation is such that Whereas in a certain action of trespass on the case prosecuted in the County Court of the County of Adams aforesaid wherein the said Wm Scott is Plaintiff and the said Wm Fore is Defendant on trial of which judgment was given against the said William Fore for one hundred Eighty dollars fifty cents and costs of suit; from which judgment the said William Fore has prayed and obtained an appeal to the honorable the superior Court of this Territory— Now if the said appellant shall prosecute his appeal, and truly abide by and perform the Judgment, sentence and decree of the said Court— then the obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue. Wm Fore Seal
Taken & acknowledged in open Court— Robert H Morrow Seal
Grant to Bell

Afterwards it is commanded that the Sheriff of Adams County do summon thirty six good and lawful Men of his County according to the direction of the act of the General Assembly of the Territory

aforesaid in such case made and provided to be and appear before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid. at a Superior Court to be held at the Courthouse in and for the County of Adams on the second Monday in October in the Year One thousand Eight hundred and two, by whom and so forth, and who neither and so forth to recognize and so forth, because as well and so forth the said day is given to the parties aforesaid there and so forth— At which day before the Judges of the Territory aforesaid at the Superior Court there and then held came as well the said William Scott as the said William Ford by their respective Attorneys aforesaid, And the Juros of the Jury of which mention is above made being called certain of them came Benjamin Ketchum, Levi Whittington Archibald Lewis, Abram Gattney Reuben Hammond David Gibson Joel Pate, Richard Inskeep Joshua Vaughn Amasa Hague, Charles Mills, Henry Hunt, who being empannelled tried and sworn to speak the truth concerning the matters within contained, say upon their oath that the said William Ford did undertake and promise in manner and form as the said William Scott hath above complained against him and they assess the damages of the said William Scott by reason of the nonperformance of the said several promises and undertakings over and above his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf expended to one hundred and Eighty dollars and fifty cents— Therefore it is considered that the said William Scott do recover against the said William Ford his damages aforesaid by the Jury aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf expended by the Court now here adjudged to the said William Scott with his assent. Which said damages costs and charges amounting in the whole to

And it is also considered by the Court now here that the said William Scott do recover against the said Robert McMorrow for the said William Ford in the appeal aforesaid, the damages

and Costs aforesaid by virtue of the Statute in such case made
and provided. And he the said Millicent Fox and Robert
Morrow in Mercy and so forth –